son sino formas de un sonambulismo porfiado que insiste en ocultar que el aban- 
dono y la separación son condición de posibilidad, más allá del dolor que implican, 
para una salida de sí, esto es, para ser con otros en el mundo, más allá de la captura 
narcisista en la autarquía del yo y sus relatos, como argumentaría Levinas.

Con este libro Ariza abre un campo de problemas que demandan nuestra 
atención. En él se plantea la pregunta por la precariedad subjetiva como efecto de 
una relación de amor naufragada. Sus novelas traman, a diferencia de los casos tra-
dicionales, la historia repetida de un abandono donde el que se va es ella, la mujer, 
cuestión que pareciera radicalizar aún más la experiencia. O tal vez no, pues persiste 
acá, no como límite de su lectura, sino como característica común de las obras ele-
gidas, el modelo de un amor heterosexual. Faltaría seguir pensando la propuesta de 
Ariza, desde otras posibilidades, abriendo la pregunta por la perversión constitutiva 
en la relación amorosa; desde allí, El matadero o La intrusa, El beso de la mujer araña 
or Plata quemada también tendrían algo que decir, sobre todo con relación al amor y 
al mundo.

Sergio Villalobos-Ruminott University of Michigan


Luis F. Avilés’s Atraves de lo invisible: espacio y subjetividad en los siglos de oro starts from the notion that the texts studied conjure “spaces” that may be inaccesible or imperceptible: the beloved, the court, the frontier, and the home. Avilés details how characters enunciate strategies to grapple with their subjectivities in these spaces, and how these discourses enable readers to perceive the effects of their sociopolitical contexts. Avilés focuses on a wide range of primary texts, reaching beyond the title’s announced Golden Age to include some classical and medi-
eval works. Several chapters revise previous publications dating from as far back as 2001, whereas others, such as chapter four on Lazarillo de Tormes, represent new areas of inquiry. It is refreshing to see Avilés weave his studies together so carefully, to the point that he revises conclusions of previous publications in light of his new insights, specifically regarding El celoso extremeño (208).

Avilés engages throughout his book with a range of theorists, including Jacques Rancière, Michel Foucault, Giorgio Agamben, and Judith Butler, in addition to well-known Hispanists. He seldom dialogs with scholars of visual or spatial studies such as Fernando R. de la Flor, whose approach he rejects due to “su excesiva defensa de lo visual” (9), or Enrique García Santo-Tomás, who is, however, cited briefly and acknowledged for reading the manuscript. Avilés also infrequently en-
ters into debates surrounding gender or ethnic studies, as might have been expected given the spaces on which he focuses. Instead, he interrogates the works through close readings and attention to key words (“adamado,” “casa encantada”). The book
is organized into four chapters with footnotes, a brief preface, a conclusion, a bibliography of works cited, and an index of names.

Chapter one, which focuses on “el amor distante y de oídas,” or how characters conjure the image of the distant beloved, approaches the topic through the most wide-ranging sources: in classical works of philosophy (Cicero’s *De amicitia*), a medieval Hispano-Arabic love treatise (Ibn Hazm’s *El collar de la paloma*), troubadour poetry, a medieval Castilian love poem, and in relation to the role of Dulcinea in *Don Quijote*. The verbal portraits of Dulcinea by Cervantes’s characters parody the techniques for visualizing the distant beloved that Avilés presents in the first part of the chapter. The coexistence of competing discourses representing Dulcinea—by Don Quijote, Sancho, the duke and duchess—reveals the “perpetua inestabilidad” of the verbal image created of the beloved (58).

Chapter two investigates the court-rural duality in *Menosprecio de la corte y alabanza de la aldea* through Antonio de Guevara’s advice to courtiers to manage the obstacles for handling their identities inherent in both spaces. Guevara extols privacy, cautioning his audience to investigate the lives of others from a legal perspective, instead of prying, while trying to achieve self-knowledge (65–66, 74). In Avilés’s analysis, the exterior space of the court threatens the inner self, whereas the problems of boredom and leisure derail the rural village-dweller, but the pitfalls of the latter can be escaped by establishing family life in the home (79, 95, 100–01).

Chapter three investigates the space of the frontier/battlefield in *El Abencerraje* as one of a porous “zone of contact,” following Mary Louise Pratt (106). Avilés traces how Narváez’s reaction to Abindarráez’s sigh drives the transformation of a hostile war zone into a territory of “la amistad, el amor y la generosidad” (111–12). The moor’s sigh externalizes his “interioridad velada e invisible” and his vulnerability (120, 124). For Avilés, the unexpected relationship between the supposed enemies in the permeable frontier of the fictional world displays an idealized “convivencia” that was impossible in reality (145).

Chapter four is the most accessible chapter to non-specialists because Avilés works his way methodically through *Lazarillo de Tormes* to decipher the meaning of Lázaro’s experiences in different homes during his trajectory. Far from the chaste family morality that Guevara had prescribed for the country residence, the homes in *Lazarillo* are intimately tied to Lázaro’s developing identity, integration into urban society, and amorality, despite the character’s identification of the home he shares with his wife as a “buen puerto.” The study’s conclusion ties together the different strands of each chapter, reviewing the discourses created on account of the characters’ interactions in the spaces profiled throughout, and it extends its conclusions on the home.

All in all, this is an important book that continues the author’s well-known trajectory in Golden Age studies. Avilés is most compelling in uncovering tensions in liminal or hybrid spaces and ambivalent situations that develop from the characters’ confrontations with their subjectivity therein. However, I would have appreciated it if more groundwork had been laid in the introduction to define the various spaces (and perhaps how they fit together into an early modern map of the self/subjectivity), and to justify the primary texts in which Avilés studies them.

Alicia R. Zuese  
Southern Methodist University