ties he encountered in dealing with periphrastic forms and proverbs. Of particular interest are the last two chapters. Chapter 7 is dedicated to Hordognez's style and, more specifically, the translator's interventions in the text aimed at improving his translation stylistically and dissipating any possible ambiguity. In chapter 8, Lampugnani focuses on Hordognez as a critic of *Celestina* and studies the passages where he intervened by modifying or expanding the plot, stage directions, and characters' description. The study ends with a brief conclusion, a bibliography, and an appendix with a list of editions of the Italian translation followed by the transcription of some paratextual material at the beginning of the Italian translation.

This is, without doubt, an important study that surely fills a gap in *Celestina*'s criticism. However, it is evident that Lampugnani's study is somewhat outdated. This can readily be detected by the choice of the Spanish edition on which he bases his analysis. Since the original text used by Hordognez has not been preserved, Lampugnani's comparative study is based on the edition prepared by Criado de Val and Trotter in 1970, a critical edition with many limits and whose text is too defective to be considered reliable. Similarly, both the appendix he added with a list of editions of the Italian translation not collected in catalogues and his bibliography would have greatly benefited from an update. Nevertheless, these minor faults do not invalidate Lampugnani's study and every scholar interested in *Celestina* or in the theory and practice of translation will be surely grateful to the linguistic and sociolinguistic analysis carried out in *La prima traduzione italiana de "La Celestina."* 

Devid Paolini, City College of New York, CUNY

El retorno de Astrea: Astrología, mito e imperio en Calderón. Frederick A. de Armas. Ed. and trans. Guillermo Gómez Sánchez-Ferrer. Biblioteca Áurea Hispánica 108. Madrid: Iberoamericana, 2016. 380 pp. €44.

El retorno de Astrea is the Spanish translation of the reference work The Return of Astrea: An Astral-Imperial Myth in Calderón, which Frederick de Armas published in 1986. This edition does much more than provide readers with a Spanish version of this key work in the interpretation of the mythical and astrological elements used in Calderón de la Barca's comedias. It offers an updated version in which the author quotes from the most recent critical editions of the plays, makes use of the bibliography published in the thirty years since the University of Kentucky printed the original English study, and engages in a discussion with critics, showing the different opinions on the interpretation of the plays. The first and second chapters are, as they were, a complete exposition of the sources of the myth of Astrea, expounding the elements of this myth present in texts from antiquity, the Middle Ages, and Golden Age Spanish literature. Chapters 4, 5, and 6 constitute the core of the work, with the interpretation

of Calderón's masterpiece, *La vida es sueño*. Chapter 3 and chapters 7 to 10 are, as originally, interpretations of other plays by Calderón, completing the analysis of the thirteen *comedias* in which the playwright made use of the myth of Astrea and of astrological elements.

New pieces of research and reflection have been added to several chapters. The additions in chapter 3 insist on the complexity of the Calderonian texts and the political subtext that the study of mythological and astrological elements can help reveal. De Armas also introduces a new consideration of the meaning of the scenes of the fall of Rosaura. The additions in chapter 4 enrich the discussion on the role of astrology in the play, insisting on the relationship of astronomical events of the seventeenth century, those present in *La vida es sueño*, and historical, political events with their astrological interpretation. Chapter 7, with the analysis of two later *comedias*, is where Frederick de Armas has inserted an analysis of the scholarship of the past thirty years and the positions expressed on the interpretation of political keys underlying the plays. This is an appealing addition where the author establishes a chronology for the stages through which the critics' opinions have gone in the last decades. Preceded by this exposition of the different opinions of the experts, the strength of the arguments for the political reading of the mythical and astrological aspects of the plays, particularly in *El mayor encanto*, *amor*, is increased.

The quality of the edition corresponds to the high standards of all the volumes published in the Biblioteca Áurea Hispánica. The translation, however, is not flawless. Of lesser importance are issues such as a line of the English original skipped and resulting in the merging of two unrelated sentences (85), some grammatical mistakes (94, 142), and some misleading translations (136, 165, 190, 287). Of more relevance, but also scarce, are mistranslations that change the intended meaning or provide incorrect statements, as in the sentence "que Aries se encuentre en la 'casa' de Marte" (257), which should say, as in the English original, "Aries is the house of Mars." A thorough Spanish editing and careful attention in the correction process at the publisher could have avoided these issues.

None of this affects the more than established value of *El retorno de Astrea*, which continues to be a brilliant analysis, now enriched by new reflections and by the recent bibliography, which the author not only quotes, but explains, discusses, and uses in support of his thesis, showing other interpretations alongside the work. Besides the obvious interest of this updated version for literary critics and specialists in Golden Age theater, historians of Spanish early modern science and medicine and cultural historians should be an audience for Frederick de Armas's appealing study, as it shows magisterially the pervasiveness of mythical and astrological ideas in the Spanish society of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries.